



Great Palace in Constantinople

Περίληψη :

The Great Palace of the byzantine emperors was the first imperial palace in Constantinople. It was founded as such, supposedly by Constantine the Great, in his newly founded capital. It remained the primary imperial palace in Constantinople up to and beyond the reign of emperor Constantine VII (913-959), in whose *Book of Ceremonies* its halls are named.

Χρονολόγηση

4th-10th c.

Γεωγραφικός εντοπισμός

Constantinople, Istanbul

1. Introduction

The Great Palace of the Byzantine Emperors in [Constantinople](#) was the ceremonial heart of the Byzantine Empire for a millennium, and occupied a site that is now recognized as a World Heritage precinct [[Fig. 1](#)].¹ The Great Palace has a high cultural and historical significance, exerting a significant influence on both Western European and Levantine palatine architecture, and forming a link between Imperial Roman and medieval palaces. It is, nonetheless, only partially understood. Its remains are largely buried under later structures, notably the Sultan Ahmet Mosque, and can only be interpreted through texts and old representations.

2. The Upper Palace, including the Daphne Palace

The oldest portion of the Great Palace, the [Palace of Daphne](#), built by [Constantine the Great](#) and his successors in the 4th and 5th centuries, was a complex that is thought to have occupied the site upon which the Sultan Ahmet, or Blue, Mosque now stands. Its immediate context comprised: the [Hippodrome](#) and adjacent palaces; the [Baths of Zeuxippos](#); the Imperial forum or [Augustaion](#), where [Justinian I](#) erected his equestrian statue on a monumental column in the 6th century; the churches of [St. Sophia](#), [St. Eirene](#), and later St. John Diipion to the north-east and [Sts. Sergios and Bakchos](#) to the south-east; the [Mese](#), or middle road, along which the *Adventus* and, later, important civic and religious processions would proceed; the library and peristyle courtyard called the 'Basilica', and the [fora](#) of Constantine and [Theodosius](#). Further to the east, descending to the sea walls, the topography for this period is unclear in the absence of evidence, but may have comprised imperial gardens and other aristocratic villas.² There is a clear precedent for such imperial gardens in the layout of Roman aristocratic villas, such as that of Hadrian in Tivoli, and the Flavian palace in Rome, as well as being evidenced by the typology of the late-antique [porticoed villa](#).³ To the south were several other palaces, including that of Hormisdas, occupying an uncertain extent but, according to Bolognesi, extending into the area of the lower, or Sacred Palace.⁴

3. The Lower, or 'Sacred' Palace

The Great Palace was further extended, primarily to the east and south, by later emperors. It is thought to have retained a primarily ceremonial purpose in later centuries (for example at the time of writing of *De Ceremoniis* in the 10th century- see below), while the original functions of its constituent buildings were appropriated by new buildings. Thus, for example, the [Chrysotriklinos](#), the late 6th century Throne Room of emperor Justin II, which was located in the area of the Lower Palace to the south of the Daphne, appears to have appropriated the state ceremonial functions that were previously met by certain rooms of the Daphne Palace complex- the Augustaion, possibly the first throne room from the reign of Constantine I,⁵ and the Consistorion, an imperial audience chamber. It would appear that parts of the complex of the Daphne Palace, such as the Chapel of St. Stephen, the Augustaion and the Consistorion, were still in use for special ceremonial occasions during the reign of Constantine VII Porphyrogenetus (r. 913 – 959), although most of the ceremonial life at that time would appear to have centred on the Chrysotriklinos, the Triklinos of Justinian, and the churches of the Theotokos of the Pharos and the [Nea](#). However, when the emperor [Nikephoros Phokas](#) fortified the Great Palace in 969, the structures of the Daphne complex were excluded from its boundaries. They had, it would appear, by this date



Great Palace in Constantinople

ceased to have any more than an occasional ceremonial function.⁶

4. The Palace in the Middle and Late Byzantine Periods

The Daphne Palace would seem to have thereafter fallen into gradual ruin, exacerbated by pillaging and spoliation during the period of the [Latin Empire](#) (1204 - 1261). As previously stated, by the Middle Byzantine period the imperial ceremonial had largely been displaced to the Lower (or 'Sacred') Palace. Nonetheless, in the 10th century, as attested by the ceremonies identified with the [Macedonian dynasty](#), the Great Palace remained a site of considerable ritual significance- thus for example on his death in 959, emperor Constantine VII Porphyrogenetus was carried on a litter to certain specific halls within the palace: Kaballarios, Dekanneakkoubita, Chalke, where his body was viewed. In the Middle Byzantine period, and notably during the reign of [Alexios I Komnenos](#) (1081-1118), there was a shift in significance to the [Blachernae Palace](#), in the north-west part of the city, close by the [Golden Horn](#), as the primary imperial residence.⁷ And yet, significant new or renovated structures were constructed in the Great Palace grounds as late as the 12th century, notably the Mouchroutas, a pleasure pavilion in Arabic or Persian style, possibly with a *muqarnas* (conical) vault,⁸ and thought to have been located in the south-west area of the palace. Great damage is recorded as having been inflicted on the area during the [sack](#) by the [IV Crusade](#) in 1204, and subsequent Latin occupation.⁹

5. The Palace Site in the Ottoman Period

By the time of the fall of the city to the Ottoman Turks in [1453](#), after the ramparts adjacent to the Blachernae gate had been breached by 'modern' cannon fire, all but a few structures of the Great Palace had become uninhabited ruins. The site later became appropriated for the construction of a number of Ottoman mansions, which themselves often fell victim to the periodic fires that plagued this region of Constantinople. However the major transformation of the area occurred with the construction of the Sultan Ahmet Mosque ¹⁰ between 1609 and 1616 during the reign of the eponymous sultan. The mosque and its dependent buildings were built over the remains of the Upper Palace, and their heritage and cultural and religious significance has ensured that no comprehensive archaeological survey of this site has been possible. Furthermore, it is probable that the Sultan Ahmet complex was constructed using the bricks stones and columns of the Great Palace and adjacent [Senate](#) house, thus for the most part removing the portion of the structures above ground level.

6. The Palace Site in the Modern Period

A major fire in the early 20th century cleared much of the mosque's adjacent district to the south and east of its houses, and permitted the only major archaeological survey to take place, resulting in the excavation of the Mosaic Peristyle, to the south-east of Sultan Ahmet Camii. However, no definitive conclusion has been reached as to the identity and interrelationship of these finds to the larger palace complex. More recent, but largely unpublished, excavations have been carried out by Turkish archaeologists since the 1950s,¹¹ the most recent having possibly identified the site of the late Roman senate house and the *Pittakia*, a building complex used variously as an administrative complex and prison.¹²

7. Scholarship on the Great Palace

Philological and archaeological research into the Great Palace began in the early 19th century with the rigorous translation and historical analysis of surviving manuscripts. Mango (1959) has cited the monograph by Jules Labarte of 1861 as the first systematic attempt to reconstruct the topography of the palace on the basis of the Book of Ceremonies.¹³ [Fig. 2] Among the numerous subsequent attempts, the reconstruction by Jean Ebersolt, of 1910, in collaboration with the architect A.D. Thiers [Fig. 3] was informed both by knowledge of Byzantine architecture, and his study of the Palace of Diocletian at Split.¹⁴ Ebersolt's layout was further revised by Albert Vogt in 1935.¹⁵ [Fig. 4] Vogt included a revised plan (signed by 'Arch^{te} D.P.L.G.') to accompany his partial translation of *De Ceremoniis*. (1934, see below) Rodolphe Guiland (1969)¹⁶ published a highly significant revision of Ebersolt's topographical study that took into account more recent archaeological evidence, without however attempting his own



Great Palace in Constantinople

reconstruction of the complex, instead including a plan by Miranda. [Fig. 6] Raymond Janin (1964)¹⁷ produced a major topographical work on the topography of Byzantine Constantinople. The architect Miranda published various speculative plans [1955, 1973, 1983] that attempted to reconcile recorded accounts of the Palace with archaeological remains (1965, 1973).¹⁸ His attempts were summarily rejected by Mango.¹⁹ However recent topographical studies by Müller-Wiener (1977), Mango (1959, 1990, 1995, 1997, 2000), Kosteneç (1998, 1999 & 2004), Bolognesi and Bardill have provided additional evidence to the architectural research, to be undertaken into the layout of the Palace.²⁰

8. The Book of Ceremonies

The most important textual source for the Great Palace is the *Book of Ceremonies* compiled by, or for, the Emperor Constantine VII Porphyrogenetus in the mid-10th century. It is a compilation of archaic and contemporary court ceremonial.²¹ Explication of the date and provenance of its itineraries provides the most direct evidence for the spatial and functional relationship of the various palace buildings, and was used by Ebersolt, Vogt, Guiland, and current scholars to devise their topographies. Dr. J.M. Featherstone has written several papers on the provenance and dating of the various sections of the book.²² These important studies offer the potential for various hypotheses on the palace layout to be spatially tested. This is relevant in the context of current proposals to create an archaeological park tracing the site of the Palace.²³

1. The site comprises roughly the area of modern-day Sultanahmet on the European side of Istanbul.
2. E. Bolognesi makes reference to the incorporation, by Justinian II of the Palace of Marina, the unmarried daughter of emperor Arcadius (r. 395-408), a complex erected in the early 5th c., and the site which was to become the Tzykanisterion at the end of the 7th or early 8th century. The Palace housed the marriage of the daughter of emperor Phokas to one of his generals, Priscus, in circa 605. Bolognesi, E., "Il Gran Palazzo," in *Bizantinistica: Rivista di Studi Bizantini e Slavi. Serie Seconda Anno II* (2000), p. 223. See also Magdalino, P., "The Bath of Leo the Wise," in Moffatt A. (ed.), *Maistor: Classical, Byzantine and Renaissance Studies for Robert Browning* (Canberra 1984), pp. 225-40; Magdalino, P., "The Bath of Leo the Wise and the 'Macedonian Renaissance' Revisited: Topography, Iconography, Ceremonial, Ideology," *Dumbarton Oaks Papers* 42 (1988), pp. 97-118; and Mango, C., "The Palace of Marina, the Poet Palladas and the Bath of Leo VI," in *Ευφρόσυνον: Αφιέρωμα στον Μανώλη Χατζηδάκη* 1, (Αθήνα 1991), pp. 321-30. For textual evidence for construction of imperial villas designed to provide a landscape prospect, see the reference to a villa and garden owned by the Emperor Julian in Maguire, H., "Gardens and Parks in Constantinople," *Dumbarton Oaks Papers* 54 (2000), p. 262.
3. See for example villas cited by Swoboda, K.M., *Römische und Romanische Paläste* (Vienna 1919), pp. 48-52.
4. Bolognesi, E., *Il Gran Palazzo degli Imperatori di Bisanzio*, Associazione Palatina Istanbul (Istanbul 2000), pp. 221-222.
5. The argument for the Augusteum having performed this role derives from the typological tradition of the major apsidal reception hall having been located symmetrically at the head of a symmetrical configuration of palace structures. This can be seen clearly in the example of the aristocratic late-antique villa at Piazza Armerina, but also in the Flavian Palace, where the *aula regia* is similarly configured as the central room in the public facade of the palace.
6. Featherstone, J.M.-Bolognesi, E., "The Boundaries of the Palace: *De Ceremoniis* II, 13," *Travaux et Mémoires* 14 [=Mélanges Gilbert Dagron] (2002), pp. 37-46.
7. Magdalino, P., «Medieval Constantinople: Built Environment and Urban Development», στο Laiou, A.E. (ed.), *The Economic History of Byzantium: From the Seventh through the Fifteenth Century* 2(Dumbarton Oaks Studies 39, Washington D.C. 2002), p. 533.
8. Nikolaos Mesarites described the building as being the work of a 'Persian hand': "The Mouchroutas is an enormous building adjacent to the Chrysotriklinos, lying as it does on the west side of the latter. ... This building is the work ... of a Persian hand, by virtue of which it contains images of Persians in their different costumes. The canopy of the roof, consisting of hemispheres joined to the heaven-like ceiling, offers a variegated spectacle; closely packed angles project inward and outward; the beauty of the carving is extraordinary, and wonderful is the appearance of the cavities which, overlaid with gold, produce the effect of a rainbow more colorful than the one in the clouds. There is insatiable enjoyment here—*not hidden, but on*



Great Palace in Constantinople

the surface [emphasis added]. Not only those who direct their gaze to these things for the first time, but those who have often done so are struck with wonder and astonishment." See A. Heisenberg (ed.), *Die Palastrevolution des Johannes Komnenos*, (Würzburg 1907), 44-5; trans. Cyril Mango, *The Art of the Byzantine Empire 312 – 1453: Sources and Documents*, (Englewood Cliffs, N.J. 1972), 228-9. On the Arabic and Persian models for such a structure, see Grabar, O., "From Dome of Heaven to Pleasure Dome," *The Journal of the Society of Architectural Historians* 49/1 (1990), pp. 15-21.

9. See Choniates, 'Description of the sack of Constantinople,' in Magoulias H.J. (transl.), *O City of Byzantium: Annals of Niketas Choniates* (Detroit 1984).
10. Sultan Ahmet Mosque is commonly known as the 'Blue Mosque.'
11. For a survey of excavations carried out in 1999 to the south-east of Hagia Sophia, see Pasinli, A., "Pittakia' ve 'Magnum Palatum – Büyük Saray' Bölgesinde 1999 Yılı Çalışmaları (Eski Sultanahmet Cazaevi Bahçesi)" *11. Müze Çalışmaları Ve Kurtama Kazıları Sempozyumu* (2001), pp. 41-62.
12. Pasinli, A., "La Zona Settentrionale del Gran Palazzo: Interventi di Scavo il Giardino della Vecchia Prigione di Sultanahmet," in Bolognesi, E. (ed.), *Il Gran Palazzo degli Imperatori di Bisanzio*, Associazione Palatina Istanbul (Istanbul 2000). See also by the same author, «Pittakia' ve 'Magnum Palatum – Büyük Saray' Bölgesinde 1999 Yılı Çalışmaları (Eski Sultanahmet Cazaevi Bahçesi),» *11. Müze Çalışmaları Ve Kurtama Kazıları Sempozyumu* (2001), pp. 41-62.
13. Labarte, J., *Le Palais imperial de Constantinople et ses abords au dixième siècle* (Paris, 1861), cited by Mango, C., *The Brazen House* (Copenhagen 1959), p. 14 and ff.
14. Ebersolt, J., *Le Grand Palais de Constantinople et le Livre des Cérémonies* (Paris 1910).
15. Ebersolt, J., *Le Grand Palais de Constantinople et le Livre des Cérémonies* (Paris 1910).
16. Guillard, R., *Études topographiques de Constantinople byzantine* 1-2, (Berlin - Amsterdam 1969).
17. Janin, R., *Constantinople byzantine. Développement urbain et répertoire topographique* (Paris 1964).
18. Miranda, S., *Le palais des empereurs byzantins* (Mexico City 1965).
19. Mango, C., *The Brazen House* (Kopenhagen 1959), p. 17: "A popular book on the Palace of Constantinople lately published in Mexico need not detain us."
20. (Correspondence of Professor Mango with author, 1999.)
21. The principal translations of the Book of Ceremonies are Reiske, *De Ceremoniis Aulae Byzantinae*, (Bonn 1829, 1830) (translated into Latin) and Vogt, *Le Livre des Cérémonies* (Paris 1939) (translated into French). For current scholarship. See also Featherstone, J.M., 'Further Remarks on the *De Cerimoniis*,' *Byzantinische Zeitschrift* 97 (2004), pp. 113-121, for an analysis of the composition and formation of *De Ceremoniis*. Dr. Ann Moffatt, of the Australian National University, is currently completing an annotated English translation of the two surviving manuscripts of this work.
22. Featherstone J. M., «The Great Palace as Reflected in the *De Ceremoniis*,» in Bauer, F.A. (ed.), *Visualisierungen von Herrschaft: Frühmittelalterliche Residenzen- Gestalt und Zeremoniell* (BYZAS 5, Istanbul 2006), pp. 47-61.
23. The proposal is stated to be a collaboration between the Associazione Palatina, Istanbul, the University of Istanbul, the Technical University of Yıldız in Istanbul, and the University of Bologna. The publication was produced in association with the Museum of Turkish and Islamic Art, Istanbul. Bolognesi, E., *Il Gran Palazzo degli Imperatori di Bisanzio*, Associazione Palatina Istanbul (Istanbul 2000), p. 102.

Βιβλιογραφία :



Great Palace in Constantinople

	Krautheimer R. , <i>Early Christian and Byzantine Architecture</i> , 4 ed., Yale University Press, New Haven – London 1986, Krautheimer, R. – Ćurčić, S. (rev.)
	Mango C. , <i>The Brazen House. A Study of the Vestibule of the Imperial Palace of Constantinople</i> , Copenhagen 1959, Arkæologisk-kunsthistoriske Meddelelser edgivet af Det Kongelige Danske Videnskabernes Selskab, 4.4
	Müller-Wiener W. , <i>Bildlexikon zur Topographie Istanbuls, Byzantion – Konstantinupolis – Istanbul bis zum Beginn d. 17. Jhs.</i> , Tübingen 1977
	Mango C. , "The Palace of Marina, the Poet Palladas and the Bath of Leo VI", <i>Ευφρόσυνον</i> , Αθήνα 1991, 321-330
	Mango C. , <i>Le développement urbain de Constantinople (IVe-VIe siècles)</i> , 2, Paris 1985, Travaux et Mémoires, Monographies 2
	Rice D.T., Jones A.H.M., Casson S. , <i>Preliminary Report upon the Excavations Carried out in and Near the Hippodrome of Constantinople in 1927</i> , London 1928
	Rice D.T., Jones A.H.M., Casson S. , <i>Second Report upon the Excavations Carried out in and near the Hippodrome of Constantinople in 1928</i> , London 1929
	Guilland R. , <i>Études topographiques de Constantinople byzantine, vols 1, 2</i> , Berlin – Amsterdam 1969
	Janin R. , <i>Constantinople byzantine. Développement urbain et répertoire topographique</i> , 2, Paris 1964
	Bardill J. , "The Palace of Lausus and Nearby Monuments in Constantinople: A Topographical Study", <i>American Journal of Archaeology</i> , 101:1, Jan. 1997, 67-95
	Magdalino P. , "Observations on the Nea Ekklesia of Basil I", <i>Jahrbuch der Österreichischen Byzantinistik</i> , 37, 1987, 51-64
	Berger A. , "Streets and Public Spaces in Constantinople", <i>Dumbarton Oaks Papers</i> , 54, 2000, 161-172
	Dagron G. , <i>Naissance d'une capitale. Constantinople et ses institutions de 330 à 451</i> , 2, Paris 1984
	Dark K.R. , "Houses, streets and shops in Byzantine Constantinople from the fifth to the twelfth centuries", <i>Journal of Medieval History</i> , 30, 2004, 83-107
	Bardill J. , "The Great Palace of the Byzantine Emperors and the Walker Trust Excavations", <i>Journal of Roman Archaeology</i> , 12, 1999, 216-230
	Bardill J. , "Visualizing the Great Palace of the Byzantine Emperors at Constantinople", Bauer, F.A. (ed.), <i>Visualisierungen von Herrschaft: Frühmittelalterliche Residenzen- Gestalt und Zeremoniell</i> , Istanbul 2006, Byzas 5, 5-45
	Berger A. , "Imperial and Ecclesiastical Processions in Constantinople", N. Necipoglu (ed.), <i>Byzantine Constantinople: Monuments, Topography and Everyday Life</i> , Leiden: Brill 2001, 73-87
	Bolognesi Recchi-Franceschini E. , "Winter in the Great Palace: the Persistence of Pagan Festivals in Christian Byzantium", <i>Byzantinische Forschungen</i> , 21, 1995, 117-132
	Bolognesi Recchi-Franceschini E. , "Where Emperors Walked: the Great Palace", <i>FMR</i> , V.8 No.40, 1989,



Great Palace in Constantinople

	25-48
	Bolognesi Recchi-Franceschini E. , "The Great Palace Survey: the First Season (1992)", <i>Araştırma Sonuçları Toplantısı</i> , 11, 1993, 19-34
	Bolognesi Recchi-Franceschini E. , "The Great Palace Survey: the Second Season (1993)", <i>Araştırma Sonuçları Toplantısı</i> , 14, 1996, 19-34
	Bolognesi Recchi-Franceschini E. , "The Third Season of the Great Palace Survey (1994)", <i>Araştırma Sonuçları Toplantısı</i> , 14, 1996, 133-142
	Bolognesi Recchi-Franceschini E. , "The Great Palace Survey: the Fourth Season (1995)", <i>Araştırma Sonuçları Toplantısı</i> , 15, 1997, 15-30
	Bolognesi Recchi-Franceschini E. , "The First Year of the Bukoleon Restoration Project and the Fifth Year of the Great Palace Survey in Istanbul (1996)", <i>Araştırma Sonuçları Toplantısı</i> , 15, 1998, 267-277
	Bolognesi Recchi-Franceschini E. , "The Sixth Year of the Survey of the Great Palace: the Second Year of the preparatory study for the Bukoleon Restoration Project (1997)", <i>Araştırma Sonuçları Toplantısı</i> , 15, 1998, 278-286
	Bolognesi Recchi-Franceschini E. , "The Great Palace of Constantinople. An introduction to the main areas of activity, ground levels and phases of development", W. Jobst (ed), <i>Neue Forschungen und Restaurierungen im byzantinischen Kaiserpalast von Istanbul</i> , Vienna 1999, 9-16
	Bolognesi Recchi-Franceschini E. , "The Great Palace 1999: The End of the Survey of the Boukoleon Harbour And The Beginning Of The Survey Of The Külleysi Kapı Ağası Mahmut Ağa", <i>Araştırma Sonuçları Toplantısı 1. Cilt</i> , 19, 2001, 155-161
	Bolognesi Recchi-Franceschini E. , <i>Il Gran Palazzo degli Imperatori di Bisanzio</i> , Associazione Palatina Istanbul, Istanbul 2000
	Bolognesi Recchi-Franceschini E., Featherstone M. , "The Boundaries of the Palace: De Ceremoniis II, 13", <i>Travaux et Mémoires</i> , 14, 2002, 37-46
	Brett G., Martiny G., Stevenson R.B.K. , <i>The Great Palace of the Byzantine Emperors</i> , London 1947
	Brun R. , "An Urban Design Imported from Rome to Constantinople-New Rome", E. Piltz (ed.), <i>Bysans och Norden</i> , Uppsala 1989
	Çurčić S. , "Late-antique palaces: the meaning of urban context", <i>Ars Orientalis</i> , 23, 1993, 67-90
	Dagron G. , <i>Emperor and Priest: The Imperial Office in Byzantium</i> , Cambridge 2003
	Dagron G. , <i>Secular Buildings and the Archaeology of Everyday Life in the Byzantine Empire</i> , Oxford 2004
	Dagron G. , "The Last Roman Imperial Palace. Rescue archaeology in Istanbul", <i>Minerva</i> , 12:4, 2001
	Dede E. , <i>Kapı Ağası Mahmut Ağa ve Külleysi Yakın Çevresinin Tarihsel-Fiziksel Oluşumu İçin Bir Araştırma</i> , Istanbul 1986
	Dirimtekin F. , "Les palais imperiaux byzantins", <i>Corsi di cultura sull'arte ravennate e bizantina</i> , 12, 1965,



Great Palace in Constantinople

	225-245
	Duval N. , "Les résidences impériales: leur rapport avec les problèmes de légitimité, les partages de l'empire et la chronologie des combinaisons dynastiques", Paschoud F. – Szidat J. (eds), <i>Usurpationen in der Spätantike. Akten des Kolloquiums Staatsstreich und Staatlichkeit 6-10 März 1996 Solothurn/Bern Heft 111</i> , Stuttgart 1997, 127-153
	Duval N. , "Existe-t-il une "structure palatiale" propre à l'Antiquité tardive", E. Lévy (ed.), <i>Le Système Palatial en Orient, en Grèce et à Rome</i> , Strasbourg 1987, 463-490
	Düzgüner F. , "Analpous Ve Prookhthoi' de Yeni Buluntular. Hagia Maria Hodegetria ve Nea Ekklesia (Mesakepion) Kiliseleri", N. Bagelen - B. Johnson (eds), <i>Myth to Modernity. 1. Istanbul. Selected Themes</i> , Istanbul 2002, 32-50
	Ebersolt J. , <i>Le Grand Palais de Constantinople et le Livre des Cérémonies</i> , Paris 1910
	Feathersone J. M. , "The Great Palace as Reflected in the De Ceremoniis", F. A. Bauer (ed.) , <i>Byzas 5, Visualidierungen von Herrschaft: Frühmittelalterliche Residenzen- Gestalt und Zeremoniell</i> , Istanbul 2006, 47-61
	Jobst W., Vettters H. , <i>Mosaikenforschungen in Kaiserpalast von Konstantinopel. Vorbericht über das Forschungs- und Restaurierungsproject am Palastmosaik in den Jahren 1983-1988</i> , Vienna 1992, Österreichische Akademie der Wissenschaften, phil-hist. Klasse, Denkschriften 288
	Jobst W., Gurtner C. , "Mosaikenforschung 1995. Bericht über die Arbeiten am Palastmosaik in Istanbul", <i>Anzeiger der phil. - hist. Klasse der Osterreichische Akademie der Wissenschaften</i> , 133, 1997, 11-27
	Kostenec J. , "Studies on the Great Palace in Constantinople- I The Palace of Constantine the Great", <i>Byzantinoslavica</i> , 59, 1998, 161-182
	Kostenec J. , "Studies on the Great Palace in Constantinople- II The Magnaura", <i>Byzantinoslavica</i> , 60, 1999
	Kostenec J. , "Observations on the Great Palace of ConstantinopleThe Sanctuaries of the Archangel Michael, the Daphne Palace, and the Magnaura", <i>Reading Medieval Studies</i> , 31, 2006
	Krautheimer R. , <i>Rome, Profile of a City, 312-1308</i> , Princeton 1980
	Labarte J. , <i>Le Palais imperial de Constantinople et ses abords Sainte-Sophie, le Forum augustéon et l'Hippodrome tels qu'ils existaient au 10e siècle</i> , Paris 1861
	Littlewood A. , "Gardens of the Palaces", H. Maguire (ed.) , <i>Byzantine Court Culture from 829 to 1204</i> , Washington, D.C. 1997, 13-38
	Wiegand T., Mamboury E. , <i>Die Kaiserpaläste von Konstantinopel</i> , Berlin 1934
	Mango C. , "Ancient Spolia in the Great Palace of Constantinople", C. Moss - K. Kiefer (eds), <i>Byzantine East, Latin West: Art Historical Studies in honour of Kurt Weitzmann</i> , Princeton 1995, 645-649
	Miranda S. , <i>El Gran Palacio Sargrado de Bizancio</i> , Mexico City 1955
	Miranda S. , <i>Le Palais des empereurs byzantines</i> , Mexico City 1973
	Miranda S. , "Études sur le Palais Sacré de Constantinople: le Walker Trust e le Palais de Daphne",



Great Palace in Constantinople

	<i>Byzantinoslavica</i> , 44, 1983, 196-204
	Miranda S. , "Great Palace", S.E.J. Gerstel - J.A. Lauffenberger (eds), <i>A Lost Art Rediscovered. The Architectural Ceramics of Byzantium</i> , University Park 2001, 172-175
	Monuments, Topography and Everyday Life , <i>Byzantine Constantinople</i> ., Leiden 2001
	Nordhagen P.J. , "The mosaics of the Great Palace of the Byzantine emperors", <i>Byzantische Zeitschrift</i> , 56, 1963, 53-68
	Nordhagen P.J. , "The mosaics of the Great Palace of Constantinople: a note on an archaeological puzzle", L.Rydén - J.O.Rosenquist (eds.), <i>Aspects of Late Antiquity and Early Byzantium</i> , Upsala 1993, 167-171
	Schneider A.M. , <i>Byzanz: Vorarbeiten zur Topographie und Archaeologie der Stadt</i> , Amsterdam 1967
	Swoboda K.M. , "The Problem of the Iconography of Late Antique and Early Mediaeval Palaces", <i>The Journal of the Society of Architectural Historians</i> , 20: 2, 1961, 78-89
	Trilling J. , "The soul of the empire: style and meaning in the mosaic pavement of the Byzantine Imperial Palace in Constantinople", <i>Dumbarton Oaks Papers</i> , 43, 1989, 27-72
	Tunay M.I. , "Byzantine Archaeological Findings in Istanbul during the Last Decade", N. Necipolğlu (ed.) , <i>Byzantine Constantinople. Monuments, Topography and Everyday Life</i> , Leiden 2001, 217-234
	Jobst W. , <i>Istanbul. Das grosse byzantinische Palastmosaik. Seine Erforschung, Konservierung und Präsentation: 1983-1997</i> , Istanbul 1997
	Parrish D. , "The Art-Historical Context of the Great Palace Mosaic at Constantinople", Moulrier H. (ed.), <i>La mosaïque gréco-romaine IX.2</i> , Rome 2005, Collection de l'École Française de Rome 352, 1103-1117

Δικτυογραφία :

	Architecture of traces and ascriptions: interpreting the vanished Great Palace of the Byzantine Emperors in Constantinople Fabrications Find Artic http://findarticles.com/p/articles/mi_6973/is_2_16/ai_n28520530
	Constantinople and the Heavenly Jerusalem?: through the imperial palace http://hierotopy.ru/contents/NewJerusalems_05_Carile_ImperialPalaces_2009_EngRus.pdf
	Constantinople Great Palace http://www.gothassos.com/travel/Constantinople/ConstantinopleGreatPalace/index.html
	Great Palace http://www.byzantium1200.com/greatpalace.html
	Mosaic Peristyle http://www.byzantium1200.com/mosaic.html
	Sacred Relics and Imperial Ceremonies at the Great Palace of Constantinople http://www.columbia.edu/cu/arhistory/faculty/Klein/Sacred-Relics-and-Imperial-Ceremonies.pdf

Γλωσσάριο :

	portico A porch or a structure consisting of a roof supported by columns or pillars, leading to the entrance of a building.
--	---



Great Palace in Constantinople

Πηγές

- Agathias, *De imperio et rebus gestis Iustiniani*, ed. R. Keydell, *Agathiae Myrinaei historiarum libri quinque* (Corpus Fontium Historiae Byzantinae 2, Berlin-New York 1967).
- Anna Comnena, *Alexiad*, transl. E.R.A. Sewter (Harmondsworth 1969).
- Prokopios, *History of Wars*, transl. H.B. Dewing (Loeb Classical Library, Cambridge Mass. 1961).
- Prokopios, *Buildings*, ed. and transl. H.B. Dewing with G. Downey (Loeb Classical Library, Cambridge Mass. 1961).
- Genesios, *On the Reign of the Emperors*, transl. A. Kaldellis (Byzantina Australiensia 11, Canberra 1998).
- Constantine Porphyrogenitos, *Book of Ceremonies* 1-2, ed. J.J. Reiske, *Constantini Porphyrogeniti imperatoris de ceremoniis aulae Byzantinae libri duo* (Corpus Scriptorum Historiae Byzantinae, Bonn 1829-1830) [Commentary and partial translation into French by A. Vogt (ed.) *Le livre des cérémonies* 1-2 (Paris 1935-1939; repr. 1967).
- Constantine Porphyrogenitos, *De administrando imperio*, ed. G. Moravcsik, *Constantine Porphyrogenitus. De administrando imperio*, 2nd edn. (Corpus Fontium Historiae Byzantinae 1, Washington, D.C. 1967).
- Manuel II Palaeologos, *Epistles*, ed. G.T. Dennis, *The Letters of Manuel II Palaeologus* (Corpus Fontium Historiae Byzantinae 8, Washington, D.C. 1977).
- Theophanes, *Chronographia*, transl. C. Mango and R. Scott, *The Chronicle of Theophanes Confessor: Byzantine and Near Eastern History, AD 284-813* (Oxford 1997).
- George Phrantzes, *Chronikon*, ed. R. Maisano, *Giorgio Sfranze, Cronaca* (Corpus Fontium Historiae Byzantinae 29, Rome 1990).
- Michael Psellos, *Chronographia*, transl. E.R.A. Sewter (London 1953).
- Patria Constantinopoleos*, ed. T. Preger, *Scriptores originum Constantinopolitanarum* 1-2 (New York 1975).
- Theophylaktos Simocatta, *History*, ed. P. Schreiner, *Theophylaktos Simokates. Geschichte* (Bibliothek der griechischen Literatur 20, Stuttgart 1985).

Παραθέματα

The Great Palace mosaics

The Great Palace Museum gives us a glimpse of the sheer sumptuousness lavished on the imperial palace. Thanks to its superior artistic quality and rich imagery, the mosaic [with mythological, hunting and circus scenes] unearthed at Sultanahmet surely ranks among the most spectacular archaeological finds of the century. Spreading over 1872 m², the Palace mosaic was the largest and most beautiful landscape mosaic in Antiquity, its size and artistic quality comparable to the wall mosaic of the Ommayad Mosque in Damascus. Nowhere in the world of Late Antiquity can we find a building with a tessellated pavement of similar size and perfection of workmanship.

[...] A masterpiece of secular decorative art in Late Antique Constantinople, it adorned one of the main wings of the imperial Palace in the vicinity of the Chrysotriclinium.

The ornamental pavement, laid in Justinian's day, suffered severe damage from the many structural changes made to the Palace building over the centuries. Excavations in our century discovered just 250 m² of the pavement in the SW, NW and NE halls of the peristylar court underneath a marble floor added at a later date. The mosaic was brought to light only in fragments and sections, which together make up about 1/7th or 1/8th of the original expanse, but these suffice to convince us that it is one of the most magnificent compositions known to us from antique mosaic art. In spite of its incomplete state, the visible area gives visitors an idea of the grandiose design of this masterpiece of early Byzantine palace decoration. The continuous section in the north-eastern hall,



Great Palace in Constantinople

back on display *in situ* after its successful restoration, provides an excellent perception of the technical, artistic and iconographical details of the tessellated pavement, even though it is not sufficient to allow reconstructing the entire composition.

The SW and NW halls of the peristylar court yielded only fragments and small connecting sections of some 70 m² in size, but at the NE hall (today a modern excavation museum) the British scientists discovered a damaged but basically continuous section of 170 to 180 m².

Jobst, W., *Istanbul Büyük Saray Mozayığı: Araştırmalar, Onarım ve Sergileme: 1983-1997* [= *Istanbul das grosse byzantinische Palastmosaik. Seine Erforschung, Konservierung und Präsentation: 1983-1997*] (Istanbul 1997).