



## Heraclian dynasty (610-711)

### Summary :

The Heraclian dynasty lasted from the coronation of Herakleios in 610 until the death of Justinian II in 711. The Heraclian century was one of the most turbulent in Byzantine history, and few of the family members died peacefully or of natural causes. Still, the emperors of the dynasty were able to successfully govern the empire through wars with the Sassanids, the rise and conquest of the Arabs, a faltering economy and disruptive theological controversies. They succeeded in reaching a new settlement with political realities, and in securing succession from one generation to the next.

### Main Role

emperors (610-711)

### 1. Revolt and Accession of Herakleios (610 – 641)

[Herakleios](#)<sup>1</sup> was the son of the eponymous [exarch](#) of Carthage. While Herakleios the elder seems to have had no designs on the throne himself, he did instigate the rebellion with his son in 608. However, only his son seems to have gone on the expedition to Constantinople to overthrow the unpopular usurper [Phokas](#), who had murdered the Emperor [Maurice](#) and his family.

Herakleios had the support of both the [Greens](#) and the church hierarchy in his overthrow of Phokas, but his reign was by no means a peaceful one. Herakleios' reign was one of spectacular defeat, success, and still spectacular defeat again. Herakleios is a particularly difficult emperor to analyze. He was pivotal, yet sources are sometimes contradictory and not always clear. The historian must often result to conjecture.<sup>2</sup>

Although Herakleios had success and defeat, Byzantine sources are generally positive in the appraisal of his reign. In Arab sources, he achieves legendary status.<sup>3</sup> Upon accession to the throne, the finances of the empire were in disarray and the Slavs and Avars were invading from the north while the [Persians](#) were attacking from the East. Eventually the Persians captured Jerusalem in 614 and occupied Egypt from ca. 619 to 629. Herakleios considered transferring the capital of the city to Carthage, but was dissuaded by the Patriarch Sergios I. In 626, Constantinople was besieged by a combined force of [Avars](#) and Persians, but the siege failed. Herakleios recruited and trained an army, and then invaded Persia from the North with Caucasian allies.<sup>4</sup> By 627, the tide had turned and Herakleios was invading Persia. The Persians soon sued for peace.<sup>5</sup> But victory proved ephemeral, as in 634 the [Arabs](#) invaded and defeated the Byzantine army in a crushing blow at Yarmuk in 636.

Herakleios sought stability in both the church and his private life, but he found it elusive. His attempts to achieve church unity through the doctrines of [monoenergism](#) and [monotheletism](#) only exacerbated the problem in both East and West. He married twice in order to achieve dynastic security, first to Fabia, who took the name Eudokia and died of epilepsy in 612, and then to his niece Martina in 622 or 623. In spite of the turmoil of his reign, the dynasty proved resilient. The marriage to his niece Martina proved to be highly unpopular. It required a dispensation from the Patriarch Sergios I and was seen as incestuous by the majority of Byzantines. Most of the children had health problems and died at an early age, perhaps as a result of consanguinity, but many, including Herakleios, assumed the deaths were on account of divine displeasure at such a match. Still, Herakleios remained devoted to Martina and their children. When he died in 641, he wished Herakleios Constantine, his eldest son from his first marriage and Heraklonas (Herakleios II), his surviving son from his second marriage to Martina, to rule as co-emperors with Martina as regent for Heraklonas. Heraklonas was born in 626 and was only fifteen at the time.

### 2. Death of Herakleios and Succession of Constans II (641 – 668)

Although Herakleios wished to include Martina and their offspring in the succession, the dynasty was to survive through the children of his first marriage to Eudokia. Herakleios Constantine, also known as Constantine III, was emperor for only a few months in 641, from 11 January to 24 May. He had been born 3 May 612 in Constantinople. Little is known about his childhood. He was



## Heraclian dynasty (610-711)

proclaimed co-emperor 22 January 613, as his father Herakleios wished to secure the succession while he was on campaign and away from the capital. After the death of his father, he faced opposition from his step-mother Martina and the continued disaster of the Arab invasions. He was short of funds and the defense of Egypt failed. He died from poor health, although it was rumored that Martina poisoned him. Martina attempted to rule after the death of Herakleios Constantine, but was unpopular. She and her son were overthrown. Heraklonas' nose was slit, and he and Martina were exiled to Rhodes in 642. Herakleios Constantine had married his cousin Gregoria, and their son, Constans II was proclaimed emperor after his father's death.<sup>6</sup> Heraklonas himself had proclaimed Constans co-emperor in an effort to share power, but Heraklonas and his family were removed anyway.

### 2.1. Constans II's reign

Constans II was born 7 November 630 and became sole emperor in 642, at the age of twelve. He ruled officially as Constantine, the name which also appears on the coins from his reign. However, he was popularly known as Constans. His father-in-law, the general Valentinus who overthrew Martina, was crowned co-emperor and was the actual ruler, which resulted to riots by the populace in 645, in favour of the legal heir. Valentine was killed and Constans, just 15 at the time, found himself sole Emperor.

Constans assumed power at a time when the empire was in a critical situation. After Herakleios' death, with Syria, Palestine and part of Mesopotamia having passed under Arab rule, and the destructive Persian Wars still recent, the State was nearly bankrupt and had no real leadership. With Armenia and Anatolia under Arab attacks, Byzantine Italy and Africa torn by revolts, and the Slavic pressure from North, the Empire was in grave danger. Thus, the young Emperor's main concern was the struggle against Arabs and the Slavs, though he was repeatedly defeated by the first and had limited success against the latter. After the loss of Armenia in 654 and the destructive attacks of the Arab fleet against Rhodes, Cyprus and Crete, it was only a civil war between the Arabs, resulting in a ceasefire with Byzantium, that offered the Empire some relief by the pressure.<sup>7</sup>

In 662, Constans left for Italy; he arrived there in 663 and set up his headquarters in Sicily. The revolts in Italy and Africa jeopardised the Byzantine rule there. Constans tried to raise an army and navy there to consolidate Byzantine control, but his effort was marked by highly unpopular ecclesiastical policies, especially in the matter of monotheletism. Not only did he refused to condemn it, but he also persecuted its adversaries, the Pope Martin I and St. Maximus the Confessor, who had supported revolts against him in Italy and Africa. Also his high taxations and confiscations made him extremely unpopular in the West. He faced a series of revolts and was finally murdered in his bath at Syracuse in 668, by the **comes of Opsikion**, Mezizius, who was afterwards proclaimed emperor. His body was returned to Constantinople where he was buried in the [Church of the Holy Apostles](#).

### 3. Constantine IV (668 – 685)

Constantine IV,<sup>8</sup> son of Constans II was born ca. 650. His father proclaimed him co-emperor in April 654. After his father's murder, Constantine personally went to Sicily and defeated the usurper Mezizios. He ruled with his younger brothers Herakleios and Tiberios until 681, when he deposed and mutilated them, probably on account of a conspiracy. Throughout his reign, he sought diplomatic solutions to internal and external problems. He presided over the [Council of Constantinople](#) (681) to end the Monothelete controversy, and he secured peace with the Arabs, Lombards, and Bulgars. He died of dysentery in 685. His two sons, Justinian and Herakleios, as well as his wife Anastasia, survived him.

### 4. Justinian II (685 – 695; 705 – 711)

Justinian II was the last of the Heraclian dynasty,<sup>9</sup> and his reign was as turbulent as that of his great-great grandfather. He was emperor twice, from 685-95 and 705-11. He was born in Constantinople ca. 688 and died in Damatrys 7 November 711. He had a daughter by his first wife Eudokia. Initially, Justinian II enjoyed victories against the Arabs with the general [Leontios](#) at the head of his armies. Justinian attempted reforms in both church and state. He was the first to include the image of Christ on coinage, and he began grand building projects that led to heavy taxation. Leontios overthrew him in 695, slit his nose, and exiled him to [Cherson](#). There, Justinian married the [Khazar khagan's](#) sister Theodora and sought the khagan's aid to regain the throne. Ultimately he received aid from the Bulgar khan Tervel in 704. In 705, Tervel and Justinian overthrew [Tiberios III](#). Justinian rewarded Tervel handsomely,



## Heraclian dynasty (610-711)

proclaimed him Caesar, and may have given him his daughter in marriage. In Justinian's second period as emperor he reportedly wore a gold nose to cover his mutilation. Justinian was leading an expedition against Cherson in 711 when the fleet revolted and proclaimed Philippikos emperor. Philippikos attacked Constantinople and forced Justinian to flee to Asia Minor. The general Elias pursued him there, captured him, and personally decapitated him and brought his head back to Constantinople. The fate of Theodora, the first foreign-born Byzantine empress, and their son, Tiberios, presumably heir apparent, is not clear, but one can assume that it was not peaceful. The dynasty effectively ends with the execution of Justinian II.

### 5. The Monothelete controversy

The recovery of the eastern provinces after the victory of Herakleios against the Persians once again raised the question of Monophysism. Ensuring peace in Church matters was of great importance to Herakleios, who wanted to avoid any centrifuge tendencies in the Eastern provinces. Thus, he supported the efforts of [Patriarch](#) Sergios to draw bridges over the gap between the doctrine of the two natures of Christ, confirmed by the [IV Ecumenical Council at Chalcedon \(451\)](#), and Monophysism. It was towards this direction that he endorsed the doctrine of one and the same energy possessed by both natures of Christ. However, despite the initial support of Sergios's attempts by Cyrus, Patriarch of Alexandria, and Pope Honorius I, this compromise was met with great resistance; and so, in 638, under the pressure of Sophronius of Jerusalem's challenges and the somewhat moderate stance of Pope Honorius, Sergios composed the text that Herakleios released as the edict *Ekthesis*. He essentially abandoned the formula of the one energy, proclaiming instead that Christ had only one will. But the emphasis of the edict was put upon discouraging any further exploration on the controversy, since the conciliatory attempt had already failed as regards the political stakes for Herakleios: in 638, Syria and Palestine had already been lost to the Arabs and Egypt was soon to follow.

The situation was difficult in the West and North Africa too. As Constans II supported the Monothelete doctrine, in North Africa the opposition to central authority was expressed through a series of local councils that condemned the doctrine as heretic. Maximus the Confessor, one of the greatest theologians of his days, was the leader of the orthodox party there. This controversy encouraged the attempt of the aspiring usurper Gregory, the exarch of Cathago, who in 646 proclaimed himself Emperor; however, he was defeated by the Arabs in the next year. North Africa remained under Byzantine control and Constans II strove to provide a solution issuing his *Typos* in 648, an edict that forbade any discussions upon the controversy but also ruled that Herakleios's *Ekthesis* would be removed from the narthex of [Hagia Sophia](#). But this only resulted in Italy following Africa's example: Pope Martin I convened the Lateran council of 649, which condemned both the *Ekthesis* and the *Typos*. Even though the council's formulations were carefully composed to avoid charging Emperors Herakleios and Constans II with heretical tendencies, Constans was quick to take action against Martin I. However, the exarch of Ravenna Olympius tried to take advantage of the resentment of Rome towards Constantinople in order to remove Italy from imperial control, while Constans II had his hands full in the East with the first naval campaign of Caliph Muawiyah I. The death of Olympius in 652 put an end to the revolt, and the next year Pope Martin I was condemned as guilty of high treason for his role in it. Maximos the Confessor had the same fate. The two leaders of the opposition to Motheletism died in exile after suffering torments and – in the case of Maximos – years of incarceration.

In the years of Constantine IV, with the Arabs consolidated in the East and the hope of resuming control over the former Eastern provinces lost for Byzantium, it became clear that insisting upon Monotheletism was of no more use. On the contrary, such policy was causing discord between Constantinople and the Western provinces. Thus, Constantine IV, after consulting Pope Agatho, convoked a council in Constantinople to condemn Monotheletism. This was the VI Ecumenical Council, which, after proceedings that lasted about a year, condemned Monotheletism and declared that Jesus Christ had two energies and two wills, corresponding to each one of his perfect natures. This was the resolution of the last major Christological controversy, which had disrupted the Empire for half a century and had marked the policy of the emperors of the Heraclian dynasty.<sup>10</sup>

### 6. The Empire under the Heraclian Dynasty

The Heraclian dynasty may be considered a success for its survivability. Herakleios and his successors faced the greatest threats that the Empire had ever faced, and yet they managed to keep a successful succession for five generations. However, ultimately the dynasty ended with violence, and individual emperors did not always respond to crises in the most effective way.



## Heraclian dynasty (610-711)

Apart from striving to retain power, the members of the Heraclian dynasty had to face the challenges of the transformation that the Empire went through during the 7th C. Successive invasions threatened the Empire's territorial integrity and the administrative system had to go through radical changes in order for the Byzantine defence in [Asia Minor](#) to be reinforced. After the defeat of the Sassanid Persians by Herakleios, who thus managed to restore Byzantium's eastern provinces, came the waves of Arab invasions, to which Byzantium soon lost North Africa; between 674 and 678 even [Constantinople](#) found itself under Arab siege. The establishment of the [theme system](#) proved crucial for the [defence of Asia Minor](#), and it was only under Justinian II and Tiberios III that the eastern frontier was stabilised, although Arab incursions and Byzantine counterattack did not cease. The later 7th c. was marked by the rise of the [Bulgars](#) as an antagonist for the Empire and the establishment of their state in formerly imperial territory.

The 7th century also saw the eclipse of the urban centers of the late ancient world, with all the major changes in the social and economic structure of Mediterranean society that this eclipse entailed. Wars, plagues and the suppression of their economic independence led to the social, and in some cases physical, devastation of major cities. By the end of the Heraclian dynasty, the Byzantine state that had emerged was characterized by centralisation of tax-collection and the replacement of local centers of power by Constantinople (with a few urban centers surviving as [emporion](#) and ports); by agrarian economy and military-oriented administration.

Lastly, territorial losses and rearrangement of the frontiers resulted in a more homogeneous state, reduced to its mostly Greek-speaking and firmly Chalcedonian core lands.

- 
1. The most recent study on Heraclius is that of Kaegi, W. E., *Heraclius, Emperor of Byzantium*, Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2003, in which most of the relevant information for this period can be found. However, for a broader view of the period and its political aspects, cf. Haldon, J. E., *Byzantium in the Seventh Century: The Transformation of a Culture*, rev. edition, (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1990), and Reinink G. J., and Stolte, B. H., eds., *The Reign of Heraclius (610-641): Crisis and Confrontation*, Leuven: Peeters, 2002, for a more contextualized presentation of Heraclius.
  2. Cf. Kaegi, W. E., *Heraclius, Emperor of Byzantium* (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press 2003), pp. 19ff.
  3. El Cheikh, N. M., *Byzantium Viewed by the Arabs* (Cambridge: Harvard University Press 2004), pp. 54 and 224.
  4. The chronology and details of Heraclius' movements are difficult. For the traditional chronology, cf. Greatrex, G., and Lieu, S. N. C., *The Roman Eastern Frontier and the Persian Wars, A.D. 363-630* (London: Routledge 2002), pp. 202-209, for a revised and condensed chronology (used by Kaegi), cf. Zuckerman, C., "Heraclius in 625," *Revue des etudes byzantines* 60 (2002), pp. 189-97.
  5. For the Persian wars, cf. Greatrex, G., and Lieu, S. N. C., *Roman Eastern Frontier and the Persian Wars, A.D. 363-630* (London: Routledge 2002), pp. 182ff., which provides a useful addition to Kaegi, W. E., *Heraclius, Emperor of Byzantium* (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press 2003).
  6. The only monograph on the reign of Constans II is Kaestner, J., *De imperio Constantini III (641-668)* (Leipzig 1907), but more recent is Stratos, A., *Byzantium in the Seventh Century*, transl. Marc Ogilvie-Grant and Harry Hionides, 5 vols. (Amsterdam: Hakkert 1968-1980), vol. 3, pp. 1-282.
  7. Treadgold, W., «The struggle for survival (641-780)», in C. Mango (ed.), *The Oxford history of Byzantium* (Oxford Univ. Press 2002), pp. 131-3.
  8. Stratos, A., *Byzantium in the Seventh Century*, transl. Marc Ogilvie-Grant and Harry Hionides, vol. 4, pp. 1-171.
  9. Head, C., *Justinian II of Byzantium* (Madison: University of Wisconsin Press 1972).
  10. On Monotheletism see. P. Verghese, «The Monothelite Controversy – a historical survey», *The Greek Orthodox Theological Review* 13 (1968), pp. 196-211; J. Haldon, *Byzantium in the 7<sup>th</sup> century. The transformation of a culture* (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press 1990), pp. 327-375; T.E. Gregory, «Monotheletism», in A. Kazhdan (ed.), *The Oxford Dictionary of Byzantium* 2 (Oxford – New York 1991), pp. 1400-1.



## Heraclian dynasty (610-711)

### Bibliography :

	<b>Haldon J.F.</b> , <i>Byzantium in the Seventh Century. The Transformation of a Culture</i> , Cambridge 1991
	<b>Head C.</b> , <i>Justinian II of Byzantium</i> , Madison – Milwaukee – London 1972
	<b>Brooks E.W.</b> , "The Sicilian Expedition of Constantine IV", <i>Byzantinische Zeitschrift</i> , 17, 1908, 455-459
	<b>Brooks E.W.</b> , "The Brothers of Emperor Constantine IV", <i>English Historical Review</i> , 30, 1915, 42-51
	<b>El Cheikh N.M.</b> , <i>Byzantium Viewed by the Arabs</i> , London 2004, Harvard Middle Eastern monographs 36
	<b>Greatrex G., Lieu S.N.C.</b> , <i>The Roman Eastern Frontier and the Persian Wars A.D. 363-630</i> , London 2002
	<b>Kaegi W.E.</b> , <i>Heraclius, Emperor of Byzantium</i> , Cambridge 2003
	<b>Kaestner J.</b> , <i>De imperio Constantini III (641-668)</i> , Leipzig 1907
	<b>Reinink, G. J. and Stolte, B. H. (eds.)</b> , <i>The Reign of Heraclius (610-641): Crisis and Confrontation</i> , Leuven 2002
	<b>Rösch G.</b> , "Der Aufstand der Herakleioi gegen Phokas (608-10) in Spiegel Numismatischer Quellen", <i>Jahrbuch der Österreichischen Byzantinistik</i> , 28, 1979, 51-62
	<b>Stratos A.</b> , "La première campagne de l'Empereur Héraclius contre les Perses", <i>Jahrbuch der Österreichischen Byzantinistik</i> , 28, 1979, 63-74
	<b>Stratos A.</b> , <i>Byzantium in the Seventh Century, 5 vols</i> , Amsterdam 1968-1980, Ogilvie-Grant, M. – Hionides, H. (trans.)
	<b>Zuckerman C.</b> , "Heraclius in 625", <i>Revue des Etudes Byzantines</i> , 60, 2002, 189-97

### Webliography :

	Byzantium in the seventh century. The transformation of a culture - Google Books preview <a href="http://books.google.com/books?id=pSHmTIG_5T0C&amp;printsec=frontcover&amp;hl=el#v=onepage&amp;q&amp;f=false">http://books.google.com/books?id=pSHmTIG_5T0C&amp;printsec=frontcover&amp;hl=el#v=onepage&amp;q&amp;f=false</a>
	Heraclius <a href="http://www.roman-emperors.org/heraclis.htm">http://www.roman-emperors.org/heraclis.htm</a>
	Heraclius: emperor of Byzantium - Google Books preview <a href="http://books.google.com/books?id=tLNIFZ_7UhoC&amp;printsec=frontcover&amp;hl=el#v=onepage&amp;q&amp;f=false">http://books.google.com/books?id=tLNIFZ_7UhoC&amp;printsec=frontcover&amp;hl=el#v=onepage&amp;q&amp;f=false</a>
	Justinian II <a href="http://www.roman-emperors.org/Just2.htm">http://www.roman-emperors.org/Just2.htm</a>
	Middle Byzantine Period. The emperors between 610-867 <a href="http://www.fhw.gr/chronos/09/en/p/610/main/p2.html">http://www.fhw.gr/chronos/09/en/p/610/main/p2.html</a>

### Glossary :





## Heraclian dynasty (610-711)

### comes

1. A title in the Roman and the Byzantine Empires, designating an official with political but mostly military jurisdiction. Especially the *comes Orientis* held the position corresponding to that of a vicar in Early Byzantine period. In the years of Justinian I, the comes in head of wider provinces assumed political and military powers, while in the Middle Byzantine period the Opsikion theme was one of the few themes which was the jurisdiction of a comes instead of a strategos.  
2. A nobility title in medieval Europe.

### emporion, the

Places where trade was conducted, usually small settlements of urban character on the borders or along the coasts and the commercial routes. With the same term are characterized the trade districts, the markets outside the walls of a city and/or settlements being themselves trade centers.

### exarchate

Byzantine administrative term, designating a territorial and administrative unit. It was formed in late 6th C. in Carthago and Ravenna, both regions of high political and military importance. The exarch (the governor of an exarchate) accordingly combined both political and military power. The exarchate of Carthago is attested until the late 7th C., while that of Ravenna until the mid-8th C.

### Fourth Ecumenical Council (Chalcedon, 451)

The Fourth Ecumenical Council assembled in 451 at Chalcedon in order to retract the interpretation of the council of Ephesus (449) on the nature of Jesus Christe.

### monoenergism

Συμπληρώστε την περιγραφή στην γλώσσα μετάφρασης

### monotheletism

Doctrine developed in the 7<sup>th</sup> century by Patriarch Sergios, who wanted to reconcile the Orthodox with the monophysites of the Byzantine Empire. Monothelism supported that Jesus had two natures but only one will. Despite the efforts of Emperor Herakleios (610-641) to impose the new doctrine, monothelism, was renounced as a heresy in 680.

## Sources

George Pisides, ed. A. Pertusi, *Giorgio di Pisidia. Poemi. I. Panegirici epici* (Studia patristica et Byzantina 7. Ettal 1959): «In Heraclium ex Africa redeuntem», pp. 77-81; «De expeditione Persica», pp. 84-136; «Bellum Avaricum», pp. 176-200; «In restitutionem sanctae crucis», pp. 225-230; «Heraclias», pp. 240-261.

Theodore Syncellus, «Homilia de obsidione Avarica Constantinopolis», ed. L. Sternbach, «Analecta Avarica.» in F. Makk (ed.), *Traduction et commentaire de l'homélie écrite probablement par Théodore le Syncelle sur le siège de Constantinople en 626* (Acta universitatis de Attila Jozsef nominatae. Acta Antiqua et Archaeologica 19. Opuscula Byzantina 3. Szeged 1975), pp. 74-96.

De Boor, C. (ed.), *Theophanis chronographia* I (Leipzig 1883; repr. Hildesheim 1963)

Mango, C. (ed. and trans.), *Nikephoros Patriarch of Constantinople, Short History* (Corpus Fontium Historiae Byzantinae 13, Washington, D.C. 1990).

Dindorf, L. (ed.), *Chronicon paschale* I (Corpus Scriptorum Historiae Byzantinae, Bonn 1832)

Pauline Allen and Bronwen Neil (ed. with introduction, translation, and notes), *Maximus the Confessor and his Companions: Documents from Exile* (Oxford Early Christian Texts (Oxford 2002).

*In vitam sancti confessoris Maximi*, PG 90, col. 68-109.

J. B. Chabot, *La chronique de Michel le Syrien*, 3 vols (Paris 1899-1904).

H. Zotenberg (ed. and transl.), «Chronique de Jean Evêque de Nikiou», *Notices et Extraits des Mss. de la Bibliothèque Nationale* 24 (1883) [engl. transl. by R. H. Charles, *The Chronicle of John, Bishop of Nikiu, translated from Zotenberg's Ethiopic text* (London 1916)].

W. Asburner (ed.), *The Rhodian Sea Law* (Oxford 1909).



## Heraclian dynasty (610-711)

### Quotations

Some aspects of the transformation of Byzantium in the years of the Heraclian dynasty

Why did the Byzantine empire not succumb to the various forces, internal and external, which during the seventh century threatened to destroy it? The question has often exercised the minds of historians. Some have seen its survival as mere accident, the failure of its foes adequately to organise their efforts at conquest or the result of unavoidable internal divisions within the caliphate. Others have seen the impregnable position of Constantinople, the queen of cities, as the key; yet others have regarded the strength of Orthodox Christianity and the cultural bonds it forged as a crucial factor; while some historians have seen the well-structured and flexible administrative, fiscal and military apparatuses of the state as the foundation of its survival. All of these - although I should wish to modify each statement in different ways - played a role, of that there can be little doubt. But to look for single causes, or indeed prime movers, is to misunderstand the very nature of historical change. For in many ways the late Roman state did not survive, at least not in the sense that protagonists of a "continuity" approach to the problem would have us believe. The physical space - albeit much reduced - the geography and climate (with natural and usually very gradual shifts) remain much the same. But late Roman urban culture vanishes entirely, along with much of the cultural baggage it carried with it. Instead, new systems of thought develop, new approaches to art and representation are refined, new administrative structures are evolved. Power relationships within the ruling elite also change - the old senatorial establishment, with much of the literary culture associated with it, disappears, to be replaced by a very different elite, of different social, cultural and often ethnic origins. Those aspects of the traditional elite culture that did survive came to play a different role in the ideological world of this new class, although there is no reason to doubt that this new medieval elite included elements of the older establishment.

J. F. Haldon, *Byzantium in the Seventh century: The transformation of a culture* (Cambridge 1991), pp. 443-4.

The introduction of the thematic system

At this point, our meagre sources begin to use Hellenized names for the empire's five main armies and the districts where they were stationed. Both the armies and their districts are called "themes" (themata), and their commanders strategoi ("generals", except for the Count of the Opsician Theme). The origin of these themes is not in much doubt: they were evidently the field armies of the previous period. The large Opsician Theme combined what had been the two Praesental armies, while the Anatolic, Armeniac, and Thracian themes were the former armies of the East, Armenia, and Thrace, which had retreated from their original positions to new stations in Anatolia. Only the origin of the Carabian Theme is doubtful; the best guess is probably that its men, who were marines, came from the former army of Illyricum.

[...]

Besides saving the government desperately needed cash, giving the soldiers land grants had other consequences, one advantageous and one not. The advantage was that, with themes now covering almost the whole empire, every important region had resident soldiers to defend it, who were strongly motivated to defend their own land. The disadvantage for the government was that, once the soldiers became mostly self-supporting, they had less reason to obey the emperor, and were easier to raise in rebellion against him. Though Constantine II is unlikely to have anticipated either of these effects, he soon saw both of them worked.

Warren Treadgold, «The struggle for survival (641-780)», in C. Mango (ed.), *The Oxford History of Byzantium* (Oxford-New York 2002), pp. 131-3.

### Chronological Table

608: Revolt of Herakleios at Carthago

610: Accession of Herakleios (610-641)

614: Fall of Jerusalem to the Sassanids

616: Sassanid invasion in Egypt



## Heraclian dynasty (610-711)

622: Hegira (migration of Muhammad to Medina)

626: Siege of Constantinople by the Avars

627: Victory of Herakleios over the Sassanids at Nineveh

628: Peace treaty with the Sassanid Empire

630: The Holy Cross is taken back to Constantinople

632: Death of Muhammad

636: Battle at Yarmuk

638: Fall of Jerusalem to the Arabs. Herakleios issues his *Ekthesis*, adopting Monotheletism in an effort to reconcile with the Non-Chalcedonians.

640: Arab conquest of Egypt

February-May 641: Constantine III, Heraklonas

May-September 641: Heraklonas

641-668: Constans II

646: Councils in North Africa condemn Monotheletism, on the initiative of Maximos the Confessor

647: Arab invasion in Asia Minor

648: Constans II issues his *Typos* to settle the dispute over Monotheletism

649: Council of Lateran, presided by pope Martin I, condemn both Herakleios's *Ekthesis* and Constans II's *Typos*

668: Murder of Constans II. Accession of Constantine IV Pogonatos

671-8: Arab siege of Constantinople

ca. 680: Foundation of the first Bulgarian state upon the formerly Byzantine territories to the south of Danube

680-1: Sixth Ecumenical Council (Constantinople). Monotheletism and imperial writings and policies in its favour are definitely condemned.

685: Accession of Justinian II (685-695)

688-9: Campaign to Thessalonike

692-3: Defeat of Justinian II by the Arabs at Sebastopolis

695: Justinian II deposed by Leontios (695-698)

697: The Arabs briefly occupy Carthago





## Heraclian dynasty (610-711)

698-705: Tiberios III Apsimaros

700: Arab invasion in Armenia

705: Justinian II regains the throne (705-711)

707-8: Arab occupation of Tyana

711: Revolt of the fleet. Bardanes Philippikos is proclaimed Emperor in Constantinople. End of the Heraclian dynasty

### Auxiliary Catalogs

List of Emperors:

Heraclius (610-641)

Constantine III, Heraclonas (February-May 641)

Heraclonas (May-September 641)

Constans II (641-668)

Constantine IV (668-685)

Justinian II (685-695)

Non-dynastic: Leontius (695-698)

Non-dynastic: Tiberius III (698-705)

Justinian II - restored (705-711)